

Overshoot in Positional Licensing*

Aaron Kaplan
a.kaplan@utah.edu

October 20, 2017
WECOL 2017

1 A Problem, A Solution, and its Consequences

- Tudanca Montañés (Romance, Spain; Hualde 1989, Penny 1978): final high vowels centralize (shown with capitalization) and trigger harmony up to and including the stressed vowel:

- (1) a. pÍntU ‘male calf’ pÍnta ‘female calf’
sekÁIU ‘to dry him’ sekálo ‘to dry it’ (mass)
- b. kÁrAbU ‘tawny owl’
orÉgAnU ‘oregano’
antigwÍsmU ‘very old’

- Positional Licensing (PL; Walker 2011, among many others):

- (2) LICENSE(λ , π): assign one violation mark for each element λ that does not coincide with some position π .

- For Tudanca: LICENSE([-ATR], \acute{o}) (assuming centralization = [-ATR] (Hualde 1989))

(3)

| /oréganu/ | LICENSE([-ATR], \acute{o}) | IDENT(ATR) |
|--------------|-------------------------------|------------|
| a. oréganU | *! | * |
| ☞ b. orÉgAnU | | *** |
| c. OrÉgAnU | | ****! |

*Thanks to audiences at the 25th Manchester Phonology Meeting and the University of Utah for helpful feedback on this work.

- Kaplan (to appear): (2) is pathological in Harmonic Grammar (HG; e.g. Legendre et al. 1990).
- Harmony incurs potentially many IDENT violations which can gang up on LICENSE:

(4) a.

| /píntu/ | LICENSE($[-ATR]_3, \acute{o}$) | IDENT(ATR_2) | <i>H</i> |
|--------------|----------------------------------|------------------|----------|
| a. píntU | -1 | -1 | -5 |
| (☞) b. pÍntU | | -2 | -4 |

b.

| /oréganu/ | LICENSE($[-ATR]_3, \acute{o}$) | IDENT(ATR_2) | <i>H</i> |
|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------|----------|
| ☛ a. oréganU | -1 | -1 | -5 |
| (☞) b. orÉgAnU | | -3 | -6 |

- The new formalism developed in Kaplan (to appear): Positive Gradient PL (PG-PL):
- (5) LICENSE(λ, π): assign +1 for each λ that coincides with some π . For each λ that coincides with some π , assign +1 for each additional position that λ coincides with.
- The pathology is gone:

(6)

| /oréganu/ | LICENSE($[-ATR]_3, \acute{o}$) | IDENT(ATR_2) | <i>H</i> |
|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------|----------|
| a. oréganU | | -1 | -2 |
| (☞) b. orÉgAnU | +3 | -3 | 3 |

- But by rewarding harmony outside the licenser, (5) motivates “overshoot”:

(7)

| /oréganu/ | LICENSE($[-ATR]_3, \acute{o}$) | IDENT(ATR_2) | <i>H</i> |
|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------|----------|
| (☞) a. orÉgAnU | +3 | -3 | 3 |
| ☛ b. OrÉgAnU | +4 | -4 | 4 |

- How should we prevent overshoot? Two options:
 1. Define PL so that harmony beyond the licenser is not rewarded.
 2. Use other constraints to blocks overshoot.
- My argument: PG-PL’s overshoot is advantageous, and therefore option 2 is best; PL itself shouldn’t discourage overshoot.
- Certain PL systems show overshoot under the right conditions: Tudanca Montañés, Eastern Andalusian

2 Two Sources of Centralization in Tudanca Montañés

2.1 Final Vowel Centralization

- Final high vowels centralize and trigger harmony up to the stressed syllable (1).
- IDENT(ATR)-pretonic (Canalis 2007, Kaplan 2015, Maiden 1995, Walker 2011) blocks overshoot:

(8)

| /oréganu/ | LICENSE($[-ATR]$, \acute{o}) 4 | IDENT(ATR) 3 | IDENT(ATR)-pretonic 2 | H |
|--------------|--|-----------------|--------------------------|---|
| ☞ a. orÉgAnU | +3 | -3 | | 3 |
| b. OrÉgAnU | +4 | -4 | -1 | 2 |

⇒ Faithfulness gangs up on LICENSE in the pretonic domain.

- Alternatives to IDENT(ATR)-pretonic: $*[-ATR]$, CRISPEGE (Ito & Mester 1999, Kawahara 2008, Walker 2001, 2011)

2.2 Labial-Induced Centralization

- Pretonic mid vowels centralize when adjacent to a labial:

(9)

| | |
|---------|-----------------|
| mEñíka | ‘pinky’ |
| gwEbéra | ‘egg-basket’ |
| bOnúka | ‘weasel’ |
| mOrθíya | ‘blood-sausage’ |

- Other vowels normally do not centralize in this context:

(10)

| | |
|----------|-----------------|
| piyíhkos | ‘pinches’ |
| píntáa | ‘painted’ (fem) |
| buhános | ‘worms’ |
| puntáa | ‘stitch’ |
| pasár | ‘to pass’ |
| maṙáanos | ‘pigs’ |

(11)

| | | | | | |
|----|-------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|----|
| a. | /bonúka/ | *LAB- $[+ATR]_{\text{mid}}$ 4 | IDENT(ATR) 3 | *LAB- $[+ATR]_{\text{v}}$ 2 | H |
| | a. bonúka | -1 | | -1 | -6 |
| | ☞ b. bOnúka | | -1 | | -3 |
| b. | /pínta/ | *LAB- $[+ATR]_{\text{mid}}$ 4 | IDENT(ATR) 3 | *LAB- $[+ATR]_{\text{v}}$ 2 | H |
| | ☞ a. pínta | | | -1 | -2 |
| | b. pÍnta | | -1 | | -3 |

⇒ IDENT suppresses *LAB- $[+ATR]_{\text{v}}$.

2.3 When the Two Sources Converge

- Pretonic non-mid vowels normally resist centralization from both sources. But they do centralize under pressure from both processes:

- (12)
- | | |
|----------|------------------------|
| pIyÍhkU | ‘pinch’ |
| ehpInÁθU | ‘spinal cord’ |
| mUrÍyU | ‘stone’ |
| bUhÁnU | ‘worm’ |
| mArÁnU | ‘pig’ |
| tAmbÚhU | ‘short and fat person’ |

- The pretonic vowels centralize because (i) they are labial-adjacent, and (ii) licensing-driven harmony also occurs.
- This is the overshoot predicted by PG-PL.
- (12) is produced by combining (8) and (11):

(13)

pretonic harmony + non-mid labial centralization

| | $*\text{LAB-}[\text{+ATR}]_{\text{mid}}^4$ | LIC_4 | $\text{ID}(\text{ATR})_3$ | $\text{ID}(\text{ATR})\text{-pre}_2$ | $*\text{LAB-}[\text{+ATR}]_{\text{V}}^2$ | H |
|---------------|--|----------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|-----|
| a. ehpináθU | | | -1 | | -1 | -5 |
| b. ehpinÁθU | | +2 | -2 | | -1 | 0 |
| ☞ c. ehpinÁθU | | +3 | -3 | -1 | | 1 |
| d. EhpInÁθU | | +4 | -4 | -2 | | 0 |

no pretonic harmony

no non-mid labial centralization

- Because the summed weights of LICENSE and $*\text{LAB-}[\text{+ATR}]_{\text{V}}$ exceed Faithfulness, when centralization satisfies both of them, it is motivated.
- The previous results still obtain. On their own, neither LICENSE nor $*\text{LAB-}[\text{+ATR}]_{\text{V}}$ can overcome Faithfulness.

- PG-PL’s encouragement of overshoot is crucial:

(14)

| /ehpináθu/ | * _{LAB} - [+ATR] ₄ _{mid} | LIC 4 | ID(ATR) 3 | ID(ATR)-pre 2 | * _{LAB} - [+ATR] ₂ _v | H |
|------------------------------|--|----------|--------------|------------------|--|----|
| (⊗) a. ehpinÁθU | | +2 | -3 | -1 | | -3 |
| ⊗ b. ehpinÁθU | | +2 | -2 | | -1 | 0 |

- Summary:

- Tudanca Montañés exhibits the overshoot that PG-PL predicts.
- PG-PL provides a simple analysis; where necessary, overshoot is blocked by other constraints.

3 Harmony in Eastern Andalusian

3.1 s-Aspiration, Laxing, and Harmony

- Vowel harmony in Eastern Andalusian (Romance, Spain; Jiménez & Lloret 2007, Lloret & Jiménez 2009) provides similar evidence for overshoot-inducing PL.
- s-Aspiration: Word-final (more generally, coda) /s/ deletes, triggering laxing of now-word-final vowel:

(15)

| | | |
|------------|----|---------|
| <i>mes</i> | mɛ | ‘month’ |
| <i>tos</i> | tɔ | ‘cough’ |

- This triggers harmony on the stressed vowel:

(16)

| | | |
|--------------|------|-----------|
| <i>monos</i> | mónɔ | ‘monkeys’ |
| <i>tesis</i> | tésɪ | ‘thisis’ |
| <i>lejos</i> | léhɔ | ‘far’ |

- Two optional extensions of this harmony:

(17) *Post-tonic vowels optionally harmonize:*

| | | |
|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| <i>treboles</i> | tréβɔɛ ~ tréβɔɛ | ‘clovers’ |
| <i>cómetelos</i> | kómetelɔ ~ kómetelɔ | ‘eat them (for you)!’ |
| | *kómetelɔ, *kómetelɔ | |

⇒ If one post-tonic vowel harmonizes, they all do.

(18) *Pretonic vowels optionally harmonize:*

| | | |
|-------------------|---|--------------|
| <i>momentos</i> | moméntɔ ~ móméntɔ | ‘instants’ |
| <i>reloj</i> | reló ~ rɛló | ‘watch’ |
| <i>relojes</i> | relóhɛ ~ rɛlóhɛ | ‘watches’ |
| <i>monederos</i> | moneðéɾɔ ~ mɔneðéɾɔ *mɔneðéɾɔ, *moneðéɾɔ | ‘purses’ |
| <i>cojines</i> | kohíne ~ kɔhíne | ‘pillows’ |
| <i>cotillones</i> | kotizónɛ ~ kɔtizónɛ | ‘cotillions’ |
| <i>recógelos</i> | rekóhelo ~ rekóhelo ~ rɛkóhelo *rɛkóhelo | ‘pick them’ |

⇒ Like post-tonic vowels, pretonic vowel harmonize as a group.

⇒ Pretonic harmony requires post-tonic harmony.

- Not analyzed here: high Vs lax word-finally but do not harmonize: *crisis* [kɾísi] ‘crisis’

3.2 Analysis

- Walker’s (2011) OT analysis:
 - Stressed vowel harmony: traditional PL
 - Post-tonic harmony: a constraint against discontinuous harmony as in [kómɛtɛlɔ]
 - Pretonic harmony: a second PL formalism (“Maximal Licensing”) specifically designed to trigger harmony everywhere
 - Optionality: variable constraint ranking
- Optionality in HG = variation in constraint weights (Hayes 2017, Jesney 2007)
- The full range of patterns emerges with PG-PL, IDENT(ATR), and IDENT(ATR)-pretonic simply by changing LICENSE’s weight:

(19) *Variable Post-tonic Harmony*

a.

| /kómetelos/ | LICENSE($[-ATR]$, \acute{o}) ₄ | IDENT(ATR) ₃ | <i>H</i> |
|---------------|--|-------------------------|----------|
| a. kómetelo | | -1 | -3 |
| b. kómetelo | +2 | -2 | 2 |
| ☞ c. kómetelo | +4 | -4 | 4 |
| d. kómetelo | +3 | -3 | 3 |

 $w(\text{LICENSE}) > w(\text{IDENT})$

b.

| /kómetelos/ | LICENSE($[-ATR]$, \acute{o}) ₂ | IDENT(ATR) ₃ | <i>H</i> |
|---------------|--|-------------------------|----------|
| a. kómetelo | | -1 | -3 |
| ☞ b. kómetelo | +2 | -2 | -2 |
| c. kómetelo | +4 | -4 | -4 |
| d. kómetelo | +3 | -3 | -3 |

 $2w(\text{LICENSE}) > w(\text{IDENT}) > w(\text{LICENSE})$

- Coordination among post-tonic vowels is predicted: candidate (d) is collectively harmonically bounded by (b) and (c).

(20) *Variable Pretonic Harmony*

a.

| /monedéros/ | LICENSE($[-ATR]$, \acute{o}) ₆ | IDENT(ATR) ₃ | IDENT(ATR) ₂ -pre | <i>H</i> |
|---------------|--|-------------------------|------------------------------|----------|
| a. moneđero | | -1 | | -3 |
| ☞ b. moneđero | +4 | -4 | -2 | 8 |
| c. moneđero | +2 | -2 | | 6 |
| d. moneđero | +3 | -3 | -1 | 7 |

 $w(\text{LICENSE}) > w(\text{IDENT}) + w(\text{IDENT-pretonic})$

b.

| /monedéros/ | LICENSE($[-ATR]$, \acute{o}) ₄ | IDENT(ATR) ₃ | IDENT(ATR) ₂ -pre | <i>H</i> |
|---------------|--|-------------------------|------------------------------|----------|
| a. moneđero | | -1 | | -3 |
| b. moneđero | +4 | -4 | -2 | 0 |
| ☞ c. moneđero | +2 | -2 | | 2 |
| d. moneđero | +3 | -3 | -1 | 1 |

 $2w(\text{LICENSE}) > w(\text{IDENT})$
 $w(\text{IDENT}) + w(\text{IDENT-pretonic}) > w(\text{LICENSE})$

- Coordination among pretonic vowels is predicted: candidate (d) is collectively harmonically bounded by (b) and (c).
- Pretonic harmony entails post-tonic harmony:

– If $w(\text{LICENSE}) > w(\text{IDENT}) + w(\text{IDENT-pretonic})$, then $w(\text{LICENSE}) > w(\text{IDENT})$

(21) Factorial Typology (OT-Help; Staubs et al. 2010): 4 languages:

- Harmony only on stressed vowel (Eastern Adalusian)
- Harmony on stressed vowel and all post-tonic vowels (Eastern Adalusian)
- Harmony everywhere (Eastern Adalusian)
- No Harmony

- The No Harmony language emerges when it is not the case that $2w(\text{LICENSE}) > w(\text{IDENT})$ (from (19b) and (20b)). Therefore, this is the only condition Eastern Andalusian imposes on these constraints.

3.3 Summary

- Without overshoot from PG-PL, the analysis cannot produce pretonic harmony.
- The PG-PL analysis is simpler than one grounded in traditional licensing.

4 Conclusion

- PG-PL makes an analysis of Tudanca Montañés available, and it offers a simple account of Eastern Andalusian.
- The proper way to prevent overshoot is by suppressing it with other constraints, not defining PL so that it cannot trigger it.
- PG-PL combines both traditional PL and Walker’s Maximal Licensing—no need for two different formalisms.
- Taken together, these results provide more support for PG-PL as a whole.

References

- Canalis, Stefano (2007) Total Vowel Harmony in Two Romance Dialects. Handout from talk presented at Phonetics and Phonology in Iberia, Universidade do Minho, Braga, June 25–26.
- Hayes, Bruce (2017) Varieties of Noisy HG. In *Proceedings of AMP 2016*, Karen Jesney, Charlie O’Hara, Caitlin Smith, & Rachel Walker, eds.

- Hualde, José Ignacio (1989) Autosegmental and Metrical Spreading in the Vowel-Harmony Systems of Northwestern Spain. *Linguistics* **27**: 773–805.
- Ito, Junko & Armin Mester (1999) Realignment. In *The Prosody-Morphology Interface*, René Kager, Harry van der Hulst, & Wim Zonneveld, eds., 188–217, Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press.
- Jesney, Karen (2007) The Locus of Variation in Weighted Constraint Grammars. Poster presented at the Workshop on Variation, Gradience and Frequency in Phonology. Stanford, CA: Stanford University. July 2007.
- Jiménez, Jesús & Maria-Rosa Lloret (2007) Andalusian Vowel Harmony: Weak Triggers and Perceptibility. paper presented at the 4th Old World Conference in Phonology, Workshop on Harmony in the Languages of the Mediterranean, Rhodes, January 18-21, 2007.
- Kaplan, Aaron (2015) Maximal Prominence and a Theory of Possible Licensors. *NLLT* **33**: 1235–1270.
- Kaplan, Aaron (to appear) Positional Licensing, Asymmetric Trade-Offs, and Gradient Constraints in Harmonic Grammar. *Phonology* .
- Kawahara, Shigeto (2008) On the Proper Treatment of Non-Crisp Edges. In *Japanese/Korean Linguistics*, Mutsuko Endo Hudson, Peter Sells, & Sun-Ah Jun, eds., vol. 13, 55–67, Stanford: CSLI Publications.
- Legendre, Géraldine, Yoshiro Miyata, & Paul Smolensky (1990) Harmonic Grammar – A Formal Multi-Level Connectionist Theory of Linguistic Well-Formedness: An Application. In *Proceedings of the Twelfth Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society*, 884–891, Cambridge, MA: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Lloret, Maria-Rosa & Jesús Jiménez (2009) Un Análisis *Óptimo* de la Armonía Vocálica del Andaluz. *Verba* **36**: 293–325.
- Maiden, Martin (1995) Evidence from the Italian Dialects for the Internal Structure of Prosodic Domains. In *Linguistic Theory in the Romance Languages*, John Charles Smith & Martin Maiden, eds., 115–131, Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Penny, Ralph (1978) *Estudio Estructural del Habla de Tudanca*. Beihefte zur Zeitschrift für romanische Philologie 167, Tübingen: Niemeyer.
- Staubs, Robert, Michael Becker, Christopher Potts, Patrick Pratt, John J. McCarthy, & Joe Pater (2010) OT-Help 2.0. Software package. Amherst, MA: University of Massachusetts Amherst.
- Walker, Rachel (2001) Round Licensing, Harmony, and Bisyllabic Triggers in Altaic. *NLLT* **19**: 827–878.
- Walker, Rachel (2011) *Vowel Patterns in Language*. New York: Cambridge University Press.